

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,603	12/11/2001	Oswald Gasser	0475-0198P	4201
2292	7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		LEWIS, R	LEWIS, RALPH A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/009,603	GASSER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of th	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 24-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17,19-22,39,40,44 and 45 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) 18,23,31-38,41-43 and 46 is/are object to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s)	wn from consideration. cted. cted to.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08032005</u> . S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
10/009,603	
Art Unit: 3732	

Withdrawal of Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 17-46 in the Office Action of April 07, 2005 is withdrawn in view of the following new grounds of rejection, based on newly cited prior art. Additionally, the Finality of the Office Action of April 07, 2005 is withdrawn in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17, 19, 39, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kokal, Jr. (US 3,959,881).

Kokal, Jr. discloses a composition comprised of a deformable film 12 14 support material and diagnostically useful additive 16. The additive 16 is comprised of microencapsulated ink or dye that is used to diagnose contact points between the upper and lower teeth. The microcapsules rupture in response to biting pressure which gives the specific location (i.e. "locus-specific") and substance of the contact by indicating points of greater and lesser biting pressure (i.e. "substance specific")(see column 3, line

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
10/009,603	
Art Unit: 3732	

9-10). The ruptured ink capsules mark the surface of the support material thereby providing a signal (i.e. "signal development").

In regard to claim 45, at least during use, some of the microcapsules are intact and at least some are burst releasing the diagnostically useful substance.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-22, 40 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokal, Jr. (US 3,959,881).

In regard to claims 20 and 21, the specific range of the amount of diagnostic material added includes values that one of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious in constructing the Kokal, Jr. device. In regard to claim 22, Kokal Jr. discloses the support material to be of "paper," however, the ordinarily skilled artisan would have found the use of conventional plastic in place thereof to have been an obvious substitution of well known prior art materials. In regard to claim 40, the selection of common dyes for the dyes/inks of Kokal Jr. would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan. In regard to claim 46, taking a picture of the Kokal Jr.detecting

Application/Control Number:	Page 4
10/009,603	•
Art Unit: 3732	,

composition after it has been used so as to maintain a record of the bite for the patient's bite would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a matter of routine dental office practice.

Prior Art

Applicant's information disclosure statement of August 03, 2005 has been considered and an initialed copy enclosed herewith.

Komura et al (US 4,397,944), Frysh (US 4,666,700), Skaggs et al (US 4,992,256), Simone et al (US 5,190,743), Komatsu et al (US 5,395,239) and Fukunishi et al (US 6,084,005) are made of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, 23, 31-38, 41-43 and 46 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the claims from which they depend.

Claims 24-30 are allowed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number:		Page 5
10/009,603		
Art Unit: 3732	•	

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712.** Fax (571) 273-8300. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720.

R.Lewis August 10, 2005

> Raiph A. Lewis Primary Examiner Au3732